	Fiscal Year en	) FY2013			
	Baker	Big Fork	Billings	Bozeman	Broadus
Primary Government:					
General Government Activities: Charges for Services, Fines, Forfeitures, including public works, safety, interest on debt	\$132,71	<b>1</b> Not	\$31,874,631	1 \$13,453,013	\$73,980
and health.		Required			
		to			
Business Type Activities: Hospital, water, sewer, solid waste, airport, business	\$3,452,51	9Report	\$65,100,047	7 \$21,323,725	\$260,236
• ,		Unincorporate	ed		
General Revenues:					
Local property taxes	\$706,33	6	\$32,685,900	\$16,429,959	\$73,866
TOTAL	\$4,291,56	6	\$129,660,578	3 \$51,206,697	7 \$408,082
2012 (2014) estimated Median Household income (MHI) from	\$43,43	8	\$48,074	\$44,818	\$42,386
Total Revenues, Fees and Taxes divided by MHI (2012)	98.8	0	2697.10	1142.55	9.63
Population2013 (2014), US Dept of Commerce, Census Bur	re 1,87	5	109,059	39,860	474
Final Index((Debt/MHI)/population)*10	0 5.2	7	2.47	7 2.87	2.03

Source: Annual Financial reports of the cities and towns of Montana, FY 2010 (Fy ending June 30, 2010) except for Froid which is FY 2008, Worksheet of interest within reports: 'Government-wide Statement of Activity', Local government Services Bureau, Montana Department of Administration. Kim Smith. (406) 841-2905. kims@mt.gov Source 2016: MT DOA, Local Government Services, Local Government Entity Portal, Audits and Financial Reviews, https://lgs.mt.gov/AllAudits, Beth Riiatano, DOA, 444-9135

We did not include the local option tax because that is a motor vehicle tax

<sup>\*</sup>Median Household Income used 2000 U.S. Census data and updated it to 2008 estimate using the Consumer Price Index at ftp://ftp.bls.gov/pub/special.re

FY2013 Butte	FY2013 Circle	FY2015 Chinook	FY2013 Columbia Falls	FY2013 Cut bank	FY2013 unaudited Deer Lodge <sup>1</sup>		FY 2013 Ennis
\$7,400,50	94 \$11,83	\$33,800	\$983,20	\$744,540	\$390,911	\$9,121	\$38,701
\$15,868,93	6 \$441,58	\$5 \$1,694,50°	\$1,600,58	1 \$1,598,288	3 \$1,235,663	\$287,305	\$536,467
\$30,637,10	8 \$193,42	6 \$542,147	\$1,106,709	9 \$620,316	\$351,625	\$92,012	\$325,413
\$53,906,54	8 \$646,84	1 \$2,270,45	\$3,690,49	1 \$2,963,144	\$1,978,199	\$388,438	\$900,581
\$39,30	00 \$35,48	\$37,344	\$38,456	\$43,257	\$40,280	\$24,611	\$37,250
1371.6	37 18.2	3 60.80	95.97	7 68.50	49.11	15.78	24.18
33,85	64 61	7 1,230	4,796	2,987	3,088	343	852
4.0	5 2.9	5 4.94	2.00	2.29	1.59	4.60	2.84

2008, Worksheet of interest within reports: 'Government-wide Statement of

v/AllAudits, Beth Riiatano, DOA, 444-9135

was unable to give any type of opinion on it because of the poor condition of City records. No auditor has been selected for FY2012 yet. The City submitted an FY2012 annual financial report (unaudited) but we were unable to accept it because it wasn't complete Kim Smith, CPA

<u>Audit Review Section, Local Gov't Services kims@mt.gov</u> (406) 841-2905

quests/cpi/cpiai.txt

FY 2013 Eureka	FY 2013 Forsyth				FY 2013 Grass Range		FY 2015 (no audi <b>Hamilton</b>
\$329,20	9 \$174,42	5 \$5,020	\$6,781	\$424,558	\$3,426	\$15,606,678	\$703,870
\$604,97	8 \$182,09	3 \$179,337	\$264,560	\$3,438,996	\$48,292	\$33,634,754	\$2,453,058
\$163,47	7 \$462,48	\$24,815	\$50,211	\$1,448,890	\$12,639	\$16,238,161	\$1,967,517
\$1,097,66	4 \$819,00	\$209,172	\$321,552	\$5,312,444	\$64,357	\$65,479,593	\$5,124,445
\$24,61	1 \$36,68	\$26,875	\$43,583	\$43,603	\$27,188	\$42,085	\$27,118
44.6	0 22.3	2 7.78	7.38	121.84	2.37	1555.89	188.97
1,10	1,87	5 196	441	5,363	109	59,351	4,594
4.0	5 1.1	9 3.97	1.67	2.27	2.17	2.62	4.11

ignificant problems providing accountability. The most recent audit report we have is for FY2011 but the auditor was unable to give any condition of City records. No auditor has been selected for FY2012 yet. The City submitted an FY2012 annual financial report (unaudited) t wasn't complete. Bottom line: it might be hard to get info from that entity. You can let me know what you would like to see from them.

FY 2015 (aud	FY 2013		FY 2013	FY 2013	FY 2013	FY 2013	FY 2013	FY2013	FY 2013 F	Y 2013
Havre	Helena	Highwood	Ismay	Kalispell	Lewistown	Libby	Lima	Livingston Lol	o Manhattan W	liles City
\$1,297,557	\$9,871,906	Not	\$0	\$5,681,989	\$1,352,609	\$431,385	\$9,146	\$1,879,291 Not	\$177,428 \$	52,094,618
\$5,144,620	\$20,211,066	Required to Report	\$0	\$8,694,254	\$2,439,901	\$1,775,929	9 \$78,777	to	quired port \$976,729 \$	54,700,162
		Unincorpora	ted					Uni	ncorporated	
\$1,817,081	\$9,244,244		\$1,563	\$8,092,684	\$1,542,199	372535	\$32,362	\$2,847,654	\$299,500 \$	51,774,969
\$8,259,258	\$39,327,216	5	\$1,563	\$22,468,927	\$5,334,709	\$2,579,849	\$120,285	\$10,018,671	\$1,453,657 \$	88,569,749
\$44,601	\$49,445	5	\$31,806	\$39,371	\$34,643	\$27,013	3 \$27,356	\$38,198	\$52,750	\$41,386
185.18	795.37	•	0.05	570.70	153.99	95.50	4.40	262.28	27.56	207.07
9,792	29,596	5	21	20,972	5,902	2,690	226	7,136	1,568	8,646
1.89	2.69	•	0.23	2.72	2.61	3.55	5 1.95	3.68	1.76	2.39

FY 2013 Missoula	FY 2013 Neihart	FY 2013 F Phillipsburg	FY 2013 Plentywood	FY2013 Red Lodge	FY 2015 Roundup	FY2013 unaudited Shelby			FY 2013 St. Ignatius
\$11,507,252	2 \$0	\$40,013	\$40,354	\$201,328	\$46,120	\$357,184	\$768,604	\$92,424	\$9,316
\$8,551,683	3 \$52,543	3 \$509,000	\$1,130,891	\$2,449,850	\$855,139	\$2,068,021	\$2,910,585	\$953,731	\$249,791
\$24,299,845	5 \$21,216	\$171,643	\$429,165	5 \$1,635,705	\$411,220	\$1,128,134	\$762,575	\$267,102	\$83,508
\$44,358,780	\$73,759	\$720,656	\$1,600,410	\$4,286,883	\$1,312,479	\$3,553,339	\$4,441,764	\$1,313,257	\$342,615
\$39,076	5 \$39,375	\$38,969	\$48,409	\$54,583	\$28,409	\$44,639	\$54,297	\$33,333	\$28,542
1135.19	9 1.87	7 18.49	33.06	78.54	46.20	79.60	81.80	39.40	12.00
69,122	2 5	850	1,903	3 2,152	1,839	3,294	6,253	1,881	852
1.64	4 3.67	2.18	1.74	3.65	2.51	2.42	1.31	2.09	1.41

## FY 2013

West Yellowstone

\$409,069

\$738,166

\$468,180

\$1,615,415

\$45,147

35.78

1,321

2.71